

REPORT

3933 US ROUTE 11 CORTLAND, NEW YORK 13045

Order No. 105030300

Date: January 19, 2023

REPORT NO. 105030300CRT-007a

SOUND TRANSMISSION LOSS TEST AND CLASSIFICATION OF LAMINATE FLOORING OVER TEST NUMBER #292820 ID: RUGBY PLANK FLOORING OVER A WOOD JOIST FLOOR/CEILING ASSEMBLY WITH A 0.75 INCH THICK GYPSUM CONCRETE TOPPING

RENDERED TO

LUX FLOORING

INTRODUCTION

This report gives the result of a Sound Transmission Loss test on underlayment. The sample was selected and supplied by the client and received at the laboratories on January 11, 2023. The material appeared to be in new, unused condition upon arrival.

AUTHORIZATION

Signed Intertek Quotation No. Qu-01259122-0

TEST METHOD

The specimen was tested in general accordance with the American Society for Testing and Materials designation ASTM E90-09 (2016), "Standard Test Method for Laboratory Measurement of Airborne Sound Transmission Loss of Building Partitions and Elements" and classified in accordance with the American Society for Testing and Materials designation ASTM E413-22, "Classification for Rating Sound Insulation". The size of the source room for the measurements is smaller than the minimum recommended of 125m³. This leads to slightly elevated uncertainties in the measurement data at low frequencies and does not allow microphones to be placed in full accordance with section A.2.



GENERAL

The sound-insulating property of a partition element is expressed in terms of the sound transmission loss. The procedure for determining this quantity is to mount (and perimeter seal) the test specimen as a partition between two reverberation rooms. Sound is introduced in one of the rooms (the source room) and measurements are made of the noise reduction between source room and receiving room. The rooms are so arranged and constructed that the only significant sound transmission between them is through the test specimen.

The purpose of the Sound Transmission Class (STC) is to provide a single figure rating that can be used for comparing the sound-insulating properties of partition elements used for general building design purposes. The higher the rating (STC) the greater the sound insulating properties of the partition.

DESCRIPTION OF THE FLOOR/CEILING ASSEMBLY

The test floor is a 100 sq. ft. opening that forms the horizontal separation of the two rooms, one directly above the other. The materials used in the assembly from top to bottom are:

- 0.75 inch thick Gypsum Concrete
- ³/₄ inch thick tongue & groove OSB decking (glued and screwed)
- 18 inch high Open Web Trusses (spaced 24 inches on center)
- 3.5 inch, unfaced insulation installed at the top of the cavities
- Dietrich RC Deluxe Resilient Channels (spaced 16 inches on center) fastened at every intersection
- One layer of 5/8 inch thick Type C Gypsum Board (taped and finished with compound)

DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMEN

The test specimen consisted of test number #292820 ID: Rugby interlocking plank flooring with pre attached underlayment. The locking planks measured 9 inches wide by 60 3/4 inches long by 0.31 inches thick. The sample weighed 2.50 pounds per square foot.



TEST NUMBER #292820 ID: RUGBY PLANK FLOORING OVER A WOOD JOIST FLOOR/CEILING ASSEMBLY WITH 0.75 INCH THICK GYPSUM CONCRETE TOPPING

Band Center Frequency <u>Hertz</u>	Sound Transmission Loss in dB
80	31
100	37
125	40
160	40
200	44
250	45
315	46
400	50
500	52
630	53
800	53
1000	50
1250	51
1600	54
2000	54
2500	56
3150	58
4000	61
5000	61
Sound Transmission Class	53


PRECISION

For the Intertek flooring test facility, the 95% confidence interval Δ TL, is as follows:

Range of	Transmission Loss
One-Third Octave	95% Confidence
Bands	<u>Uncertainty, dB</u>
125 and 200	<4.0
250 and 315	<2.0
400 - 4000	<1.5



TEST NUMBER #292820 ID: RUGBY PLANK FLOORING OVER A WOOD JOIST FLOOR/CEILING ASSEMBLY WITH 0.75 INCH THICK GYPSUM CONCRETE TOPPING



LUX FLOORING



REMARKS

- 1. Ambient Temperature: 70°F
- 2. Relative Humidity: 33%

CONCLUSION

The test method employed for this test has no pass-fail criteria; therefore, the evaluation of the test results is left to the discretion of the client.

Date of Test: January 17, 2023

Report Approved by:

Joey Esce Project Engineer Acoustical Testing

Report Reviewed By:

Driven Cy

Brian Cyr Engineer Acoustical Testing

Attachments: None